

Fairfield, CT 06824

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/824,326	04/14/2004	Viswanath Annampedu	8-15	5404
7:	590 03/07/2006		EXAM	INER
Ryan, Mason	& Lewis, LLP		NEGRON, D	ANIELL L
Suite 205				D. 1970 1970 (1970
1300 Post Road			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER

2651

DATE MAILED: 03/07/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/824,326	ANNAMPEDU ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Daniell L. Negrón	2651				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address				
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>09 De</u>	ecember 2005					
,		action is non-final.					
3)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
,—	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)[
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-5,7,10-15,17,19 and 20</u> is/are rejected.						
7)⊠	Claim(s) <u>6,8,9,16 and 18</u> is/are objected to.						
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Applicat	ion Papers						
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10)🛛	10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>14 April 2004</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	on is required if the drawing(s) is ob	jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority ι	under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign ☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a))-(d) or (f).				
	1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior						
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachmen	t(s)						
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate ²atent Application (PTO-152)				
	r No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	atom Application (LTO-102)				

Application/Control Number: 10/824,326 Page 2

Art Unit: 2651

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 1, 2, 10-12, and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Ashley et al U.S. Patent No. 6,657,802.

Regarding claims 1, 2, 10, 11, 12, and 19 the rejection applied to claim 19 in the previous Office action mailed September 7, 2005 are herein repeated for the same reasons (see Response to Arguments).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 3 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ashley et al U.S. Patent No. 6,657,802 in view of Reed U.S. Patent No. 6,549,351.

Art Unit: 2651

Regarding claims 3 and 13, the rejection applied to claim 19 in the previous Office action mailed September 7, 2005 are herein repeated for the same reasons (see Response to Arguments).

5. Claims 4, 5, 7, 14, 15, 17, and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ashley et al U.S. Patent No. 6,657,802 in view of Rezzi et al U.S. Patent No. 6,043,943.

Regarding claims 4, 5, 7, 14, 15, 17, and 20, the rejection applied to claim 19 in the previous Office action mailed September 7, 2005 are herein repeated for the same reasons (see Response to Arguments).

Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claims 6, 8, 9, 16, and 18 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments filed December 9, 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. In the response to the previous Office action, Applicant argues that Ashley et al U.S. Patent No. 6,657,802 fail to disclose a method of detecting data wherein an ideal sample sequence corresponds to peaks in the data. The Examiner however, respectfully disagrees since the data detection device for signal asymmetry compensation disclosed by Ashley et al comprises a distance metric calculator (252), which calculates a distance between a sample sequence interpolated by the interpolated timing recovery unit (228) and an ideal sample sequence (column 4, lines 39-56). Although Ashley does not explicitly disclose the ideal sample

Art Unit: 2651

sequence as "corresponds to peaks" in the data, it is considered that conventional asymmetry compensation circuits are used for the purpose of adjusting a signal to have positive and negative peaks with equal amplitudes. It is considered that a sequence (i.e., ideal sample sequence and interpolated sample sequence) passing through system components such as an analog-to-digital converter, ITR, and distance metric calculator correspond to peaks the data detected by the device since such are components used to accomplish asymmetry compensation. In addition, further support for the Examiner's assertion is disclosed in Ashley et al pat. No. 6,587,292, column 1, lines 20-29. Therefore it is considered that Ashley et al meet the limitations of the Applicant's invention as claimed.

Conclusion

8. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Art Unit: 2651

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Daniell L. Negrón whose telephone number is 571-272-7559. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday (8:30am-5:00pm).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wayne R. Young can be reached on 571-272-7582. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

March 1, 2006

PRIMARY EXAMINER